	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/039,654	JACOBS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gary Hartmann	3671
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Gary Hartmann</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Carolyn A. Fischer</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 06 February 2004.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 12</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: art relied upon.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed differences between present invention and prior art. Examiner maintained that limitations which more clearly recite these differences must be incorporated into the claims in order to be allowable over the prior art. Applicant stated that a preliminary amendment will be made. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
Examiner Not: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required

Ð